

Disc IMRI Code Comparison

LISA Astro Working Group Project Andrea Derdzinski (Fisk University) she/they

with Alexander Dittmann, Alessia Franchini, Alessandro Lupi, Noé Brucy, Pedro Capelo, Daniel J D'Orazio, Mudit Garg, Frédéric Masset, Lucio Mayer, Jonathan Menu, Raphaël Mignon-Risse, Michael Rizzo Smith, Roberto Serafinelli, Olga Sergijenko, Martina Toscani, David, A. Velasco Romero, Robert Wissing

LISA Astrophysics Working Group meeting, MPA Garching, 4 November 2024



Science Target

mass binary system.

$q = M_2/M_1 = 1$



Duffell et al. 2024

Study the convergence of torques and flow of gas around highly unequal





This work



Motivation

- How does gas impede or drive the binary inspiral?
- Are there characteristic EM counterparts?
- Implications for binary formation and merger rates
- How will gas interactions manifest in gravitational waves?



The Team

Noé Brucy, Pedro Capelo, Daniel J D'Orazio, Andrea Derdzinski, Toscani, David, A. Velasco Romero, Robert Wissing



Alexander Dittmann, Alessia Franchini, Mudit Garg, Alessandro Lupi, Frédéric Masset, Lucio Mayer, Jonathan Menu, Raphaël Mignon-Risse, Michael Rizzo Smith, Roberto Serafinelli, Olga Sergijenko, Martina





Codes and techniques

Eulerian (2D)

- Athena++ (Fixed mesh / AMR) Stone et al, 2020
- DISCO (Cylindrical moving mesh) Duffell 2016
- FARG03D (Staggered mesh) Benítez-Llambay & Masset 2016
- RAMSES (Cartesian AMR) Teyssier 2001







Lagrangian (3D)

- GIZMO (Meshless Finite Mass) Hopkins 2014
- PHANTOM (SPH) Price et al. 2018
- GASOLINE2 (SPH) Wadsley et al. 2017



Simulation setup sub-parsec scale accretion disk around an SMBH

Disk:

- Geometrically thin h<<r
- Disk mass << binary mass
- Isothermal
- Viscosity: alpha = 0.1

Binary:

- q = $M2/M1 = 10^{-4}$
- Fixed, circular orbit

Disk thickness: Mach = $(h/r)^{-1}$





Parameter choices Pushing towards the 'AGN-like' regime: highly viscous thin disk



Linear predictions: Tanaka & Ward 2002, Tanaka & Okada 2024, Paardekooper et al. 2010

Run 2: $r_{\text{Hill}} > h$



Mass exceeds the thermal mass – no analytical predictions!



Gas morphology Formation of spiral density waves, diffuse with high viscosity

Surface density



Radial velocity

t = 100 orb-0.002502-0.00125-0.00000-0.00125 $y [r_0]$ -0.00250 ^ప -0.00375-1-0.00500-2-0.00625-0.00750-2 -10 $x[r_0]$

DISCO



Gas morphology Formation of spiral density waves, diffuse with high viscosity

Surface density



DISCO

Radial velocity



Gas morphology Moderately thin disk (h/r = 0.1)





Nonaxisymmetries exert torques

Torque on secondary vs time





h/r = 0.1

Analytical estimates

Paardekooper + 2010disco phantom Tanaka&Okada2024(3D) - - -



Gas morphology Thin disk run (h/r = 0.03): sharper features





(Mostly) positive torques for thinner disk

Torque on secondary vs time





Dissecting the torque

Azimuthally averaged torque density profile

h/r = 0.1







Convergence?



More data points on the way :)

Spatial resolution



2D versus 3D Thin disk run h/r = 0.03



FARG03D

Grid code:

Strongly positive torques in Hill region

Particle codes:

Hill sphere torque positive if gravitational softening is sufficiently small



Diagnostic tests ongoing

FARG03D



Streamlines around the secondary BH





Preliminary results

- The runs are running!
- Good agreement between all codes for the thicker disk run
- Sensitivity to gas morphology in the co-orbital region leads to the strongest differences amongst codes (determines torque sign)
- Particle runs show weaker torques than 2D? **Upcoming:**
- Analysis of streamlines and gas flow through the coorbital region Analysis of torque oscillations (origin?)
- Applying results to LISA binaries

* Computational resources and environmental impact assessment

